

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/500,535	0	2/09/2000	Baychar .	Day-410-02	Bay-410-02 2745	
24956	7590	09/10/2002				
	•	NGER & MAI	EXAMINER			
1800 DIAGO SUITE 370	NAL RO	AD	SINGH, ARTI R			
ALEXANDF	RIA, VA	22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1771	10	
				DATE MAILED: 09/10/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>A</b>			AS10
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/500,535	., BAYCHAR	
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Ms. Arti R. Singh	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover she tw	vith the correspondence addre	988
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI , cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on prea	amendment E 11/15/2001	<u>!</u> .	
2a)  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)  Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under			nerits is
Disposition of Claims  4)   Claim(s) 1,2,9-11 and 14-38 is/are pending in	the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	m nom consideration.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1,2,9-11 and 14-38</u> are subject to res	triction and/or election red	quirement.	
Application Papers	•		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	oted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document			
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li> <li>application from the International Bu</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		age
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional ap	plication).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	* *		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Informal Patent Application (PTO-19)	

•

Application/Control Number: 09/500,535

**Art Unit: 1771** 

Ļ

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - 1. Claims 1, 2, 9-11, 14-16, 22-29 & 33-37 drawn to a composite laminate with moisture transfer properties, classified in class 442, subclass 370.
  - II. Claims 17, 30 & 38, drawn to a composite fabric with absorbent properties, classified in class 442, subclass 414.
  - III. Claims 20 & 31, drawn to a composite fabric with a reinforcing layer, classified in class 442, subclass 30.
  - IV. Claims 21 & 42, drawn to a foam with a spacer fabric, classified in class 428, subclass 304.4+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions because they have different functions. Invention I functions by transferring moisture through the composite and Invention II functions by absorbing moisture.
- 3. Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions as they have different effects. Invention III has a flexible mesh layer which provides structural integrity which invention I lacks.
- 4. Inventions I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case

Application/Control Number: 09/500,535 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

'n

the different inventions have different effects. Invention IV has a spacer fabric between two foam layers which Invention I lacks.

- 5. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects, as Invention II has additional absorbent nonwoven layers while Invention III has an additional flexible mesh for structural integrity.
- 6. Inventions II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects, as Invention II has an additional absorbent layer while Invention IV has an additional spacer fabric layer.
- 7. Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects, as in this case Invention III has a flexible mesh layer for structural integrity while Invention IV has a spacer fabric layer.
- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. If Applicant chooses Group I then the Applicant must also elect a species.
- 10. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Application/Control Number: 09/500,535

Art Unit: 1771

٦

 a 4 layer composite comprising an inner layer, a foam layer backed by a nonwoven sheet and a second foam layer (Claim 1 & 2);

- a 3 layered composite comprising an inner layer, a foam layer and a nonwoven top sheet (claims 9, 10, 11, 23, 26, 29, 33, 35 & 36);
- a 2 layered composite comprising an inner layer and a foam layer (claims 14, 24, 27 & 34);
- A 3 layered composite comprising a nonwoven layer, foam and an outer layer (Claims 15, 16 & 19);
- A 2 layered composite comprising a foam and a nonwoven (claims 22, 25, 28 & 37)

  Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered no responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species

Art Unit: 1771

to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

11. A telephone call was made to Mr. Shrinath Malur on 08/26/2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ms. Arti R. Singh whose telephone number is 703-305-0291. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-873-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

ars

September 6, 2002

Ms. Arti R. Singh Patent Examiner Art Unit 1771